


FAX NO.

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

P. 01

JUN 23 2005

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION BY FACSIMILE (37 CFR 1.8)		Docket No. SAIT-3915	
Applicant(s): Takeki Itoh			
Application No. 10/817,304	Filing Date 04/02/2004	Examiner Lin, Kuang Y.	Group Art Unit 1725
Invention: FOUNDRY AND PLANT LAYOUT METHOD FOR IT			
<p>I hereby certify that this _____ Response to Restriction Requirement _____ <i>(Identify type of correspondence)</i></p> <p>is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (Fax. No. 703-872-9306)</p> <p>on <u>June 23, 2005</u> <i>(Date)</i></p> <div style="text-align: center; margin-top: 50px;"> <p>_____ T. J. A. Molloy _____ <i>(Typed or Printed Name of Person Signing Certificate)</i></p> <p>_____  _____ <i>(Signature)</i></p> </div>			
<p>Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of mailing.</p>			

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
JUN 23 2005

DOCKET NO.: SAIT-3915

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Takeki Itoh

Examiner: Lin, Kuang Y.

Serial No.: 10/817,304

Art Unit: 1725

Filed: 04/02/2004

For: FOUNDRY AND PLANT LAYOUT METHOD FOR IT

Commissioner For Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450


RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

In response to the Restriction Requirement dated May 25, 2005, Applicant hereby provisionally elects Group I, claims 1-3, drawn to a foundry plant layout method, classified in class 164, subclass 6. This election is made with traverse, and Applicant hereby reserves the right to file a divisional application in connection with unelected claims 4-6, drawn to a foundry plant, classified in class 164, subclass 159.

With regard to the Restriction Requirement, Applicant respectfully submits that the subject matter of all claims 1-6 is sufficiently related that a thorough search for the subject matter of any one group of claims would encompass a search for the subject matter of the remaining claims. Thus, Applicant respectfully submits that the search and the examination of the entire application could be made without serious burden. See MPEP § 803, in which it is stated that "if

the search and examination of the entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits" (emphasis added). Applicant respectfully submits that this policy should apply in the present application in order to avoid unnecessary delay and expense to Applicant and duplicative examination by the Patent Office.

Should the Examiner require or request anything further from Applicant prior to examination, the Examiner is requested to contact Applicants' undersigned representative at the telephone number below. Otherwise, Applicant requests early and favorable examination on the merits.



Arlen L. Olsen
Reg. No. 37,543

Dated: June 23, 2005

Schmeiser, Olsen & Watts
3 Lear Jet Lane, Suite 201
Latham, New York 12110
(518) 220-1850